

# The Audit Findings for Lewisham Pension Fund

---

**Year ended 31 March 2016**

September 2016

**Darren Wells**

Director

T +44 (0)1293 554 120

E [Darren.j.wells@uk.gt.com](mailto:Darren.j.wells@uk.gt.com)

**Jamie Bewick**

Senior Manager

T +44 (0)1293 554 138


E [Jamie.n.bewick@uk.gt.com](mailto:Jamie.n.bewick@uk.gt.com)

**Pratheesh Kulendran**

Executive

T 07792 549 288

E [Pratheesh.kulendran@uk.gt.com](mailto:Pratheesh.kulendran@uk.gt.com)



Councillor Slater  
Chair of Audit Panel  
London Borough of Lewisham  
London  
SE6 4RU

Grant Thornton UK LLP  
Gatwick Office  
Fleming Way, Crawley  
West Sussex  
[www.grant-thornton.co.uk](http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk)

September 2016

Dear Councillor Slater

**Audit Findings for Lewisham Pension Fund for the year ending 31 March 2016**

This Audit Findings report highlights the significant findings arising from the audit for the benefit of the Audit Panel as those charged with governance, as required by International Standard on Auditing (UK & Ireland) 260, the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice. Its contents have been discussed with management.

As auditors we are responsible for performing the audit, in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK & Ireland), which is directed towards forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance. The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities for the preparation of the financial statements.

The contents of this report relate only to those matters which came to our attention during the conduct of our normal audit procedures which are designed primarily for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements. Our audit is not designed to test all internal controls or identify all areas of control weakness. However, where, as part of our testing, we identify any control weaknesses, we will report these to you. In consequence, our work cannot be relied upon to disclose defalcations or other irregularities, or to include all possible improvements in internal control that a more extensive special examination might identify. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

We would like to take this opportunity to record our appreciation for the kind assistance provided by the finance team and other staff during our audit.

Yours sincerely

Darren Wells  
Director

**Chartered Accountants**

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No. OC307742. Registered office: Grant Thornton House, Melton Street, Euston Square, London NW1 2EP. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions. Please see [grant-thornton.co.uk](http://grant-thornton.co.uk) for further details.

---

# Contents

<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>
1. Executive summary	4
2. Audit findings	7
3. Fees, non-audit services and independence	20
4. Communication of audit matters	22

## **Appendices**

- A Action plan
- B Audit opinion
- C Audit opinion on the annual report

---

# Section 1: Executive summary

**01. Executive summary**

**02. Audit findings**

**03. Fees, non audit services and independence**

**04. Communication of audit matters**

## **Purpose of this report**

This report highlights the key issues affecting the results of Lewisham Pension Fund ('the Fund') and the preparation of the fund's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2016. It is also used to report our audit findings to management and those charged with governance in accordance with the requirements of International Standard on Auditing (UK & Ireland) 260, and the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 ('the Act').

Under the National Audit Office (NAO) Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'), we are required to report whether, in our opinion, the Fund's financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the fund and its income and expenditure for the year and whether they have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting.

We are also required consider other information published together with the audited financial statements, whether it is consistent with the financial statements and in line with required guidance. This includes the Pension Fund Annual Report.

We anticipate providing a unqualified audit opinion in respect of the financial statements (see Appendix B). We have also included our anticipated opinion on the Annual Report at Appendix C.

## **Introduction**

In the conduct of our audit we have not had to alter or change our audit approach, which we communicated to you in our Audit Plan dated April 2016.

We received draft financial statements and accompanying working papers at the commencement of our work, in accordance with the agreed timetable.

Our audit is now substantially complete, although we still need to finalise some of our closing procedures.

## **Key audit and financial reporting issues**

### **Financial statements opinion**

We identified **three** adjustments affecting the Fund's reported financial position (details are recorded in section two of this report). The draft financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2016 recorded net assets available for benefits during the year of £1,041,754; the audited financial statements show net assets available for benefits during the year of £1,041,429k. This change is primarily driven by changes made to Debtors and Contributions.

We have also recommended a number of classification adjustments and amendments to improve the presentation of the financial statements.

We anticipate providing an unqualified opinion in respect of the Fund's financial statements.

Further details are set out in section two of this report.

## **Controls**

### **Roles and responsibilities**

The Fund's management is responsible for the identification, assessment, management and monitoring of risk, and for developing, operating and monitoring the system of internal control.

Our audit is not designed to test all internal controls or identify all areas of control weakness. However, where, as part of our testing, we identify any control weaknesses, we report these to the Fund.

## **Findings**

Our IT auditors carried out a high level review of IT controls and highlighted concerns over access and authorisation controls. We have discussed these with management. Further details are provided within section two of this report and the control issues are set out in more detail in the audit findings report on the main council accounts.

## **The way forward**

Matters arising from the financial statements audit have been discussed with the Head of Corporate Resources

## **Acknowledgement**

We would like to take this opportunity to record our appreciation for the assistance provided by the finance team and other staff during our audit.

**Grant Thornton UK LLP**  
**September 2016**

---

## Section 2: Audit findings

01. Executive summary

**02. Audit findings**

03. Fees, non audit services and independence

04. Communication of audit matters

This section summarises the findings of the audit, we report on the final level of materiality used and the work undertaken against the risks we identified in our initial audit plan. We also conclude on the accounting policies, estimates and judgements used and highlight any weaknesses found as part of the audit in internal controls. As required by auditing standards we detail both adjusted and unadjusted misstatements to the accounts and their impact on the financial statements.

# Materiality

In performing our audit, we apply the concept of materiality, following the requirements of International Standard on Auditing (UK & Ireland) (ISA) 320: Materiality in planning and performing an audit. The standard states that 'misstatements, including omissions, are considered to be material if they, individually or in the aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements'.

As we reported in our audit plan, we determined overall materiality to be £10,426k (being 1% of net assets). We have considered whether this level remained appropriate during the course of the audit and have made no changes to our overall materiality.

We also set an amount below which misstatements would be clearly trivial and would not need to be accumulated or reported to those charged with governance because we would not expect that the accumulated effect of such amounts would have a material impact on the financial statements. We have defined the amount below which misstatements would be clearly trivial to be £521k. This remains the same as reported in our audit plan.

As we reported in our audit plan, we identified the following items where we decided that separate materiality levels were appropriate.

Balance/transaction/disclosure	Explanation	Materiality level
Related party transaction	Due to public interest in these disclosures and the statutory requirement for them to be made.	Any errors identified by testing will be assessed individually, with due regard given to the nature of the error and its potential impact on users of the financial statements. We are unable to quantify a materiality level as the concept of related party transactions takes into account what is material to both the Pension Fund and the related party.
Cash and cash equivalents	The balance of cash and cash equivalents is usually material, and as the majority of your transactions affect the balance it is therefore considered to be material by nature also.	Any errors identified in excess of £500k will be considered as to whether they would affect the user understanding of the financial statements.



# Audit findings against significant risks

"Significant risks often relate to significant non-routine transactions and judgmental matters. Non-routine transactions are transactions that are unusual, either due to size or nature, and that therefore occur infrequently. Judgmental matters may include the development of accounting estimates for which there is significant measurement uncertainty" (ISA (UK&I) 315).

In this section we detail our response to the significant risks of material misstatement which we identified in the Audit Plan. As we noted in our plan, there are two presumed significant risks which are applicable to all audits under auditing

	Risks identified in our audit plan	Work completed	Assurance gained and issues arising
1.	<p><b>The revenue cycle includes fraudulent transactions</b></p> <p>Under ISA (UK&amp;I) 240 there is a presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue.</p> <p>This presumption can be rebutted if the auditor concludes that there is no risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to revenue recognition.</p>	<p>Having considered the risk factors set out in ISA240 and the nature of the revenue streams at Lewisham Pension Fund, we have determined that the risk of fraud arising from revenue recognition can be rebutted, because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• there is little incentive to manipulate revenue recognition</li> <li>• opportunities to manipulate revenue recognition are very limited; and</li> <li>• the culture and ethical frameworks of local authorities, including Lewisham Pension Council as the administering authority, mean that all forms of fraud are seen as unacceptable.</li> </ul>	<p>Our audit work has not identified any material issues in respect of revenue recognition.</p>
2.	<p><b>Management over-ride of controls</b></p> <p>Under ISA (UK&amp;I) 240 it is presumed that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities.</p>	<p>Provide summary of work performed, e.g.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• review of entity-level controls</li> <li>• testing of journal entries</li> <li>• review of accounting estimates, judgements and decisions made by management</li> <li>• review of unusual significant transactions</li> </ul>	<p>Our audit work has not identified any evidence of management over-ride of controls. In particular the findings of our review of journal controls and testing of journal entries has not identified any significant issues.</p> <p>We did not identify any unusual significant transactions during our audit.</p> <p>We set out later in this section of the report our work and findings on key accounting estimates and judgements.</p>

# Audit findings against significant risks continued

We have also identified the following significant risks of material misstatement from our understanding of the entity. We set out below the work we have completed to address these risks.

	Risks identified in our audit plan	Work completed	Assurance gained and issues arising
3.	<p><b>Level 3 Investments – Valuation is incorrect</b></p> <p>Under ISA 315 significant risks often relate to significant non-routine transactions and judgemental matters. Level 3 investments by their very nature require a significant degree of judgement to reach an appropriate valuation at year end.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We carried out walkthrough tests of the controls identified in the cycle.</li> <li>• Tested a sample of private equity investments by obtaining and reviewing the latest audited accounts for individual investments and agreeing these to the fund manager reports at that date. Reconciliation of those values to the values at 31s March with reference to known movements in the intervening period.</li> <li>• Reviewed the nature and basis of estimated values and considered what assurance management has over the year end valuations provided for these types of investments.</li> </ul>	<p>Our work has not identified any issues with the valuation of Level 3 investments reported at year end.</p>

# Audit findings against other risks

In this section we detail our response to the other risks of material misstatement which we identified in the Audit Plan. Recommendations, together with management responses are attached at appendix A.

Transaction cycle	Description of risk	Work completed	Assurance gained & issues arising
<b>Investment purchases and sales</b>	Investment activity not valid. (Occurrence)  Investment valuation not correct. (Valuation gross)	<p><b>We have undertaken the following work in relation to this risk:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We have performed a walkthrough to gain assurance that the in-year controls were operating in accordance with our documented understanding.</li> <li>• We have reviewed the reconciliation of information provided by the fund managers, the custodian and the Pension Fund's own records and sought explanations for variances.</li> </ul>	Our audit work has not identified any significant issues in relation to the risk identified.

## Audit findings against other risks (continued)




Transaction cycle	Description of risk	Work completed	Assurance gained & issues arising
<b>Investment values – Level 2 investments</b>	Valuation is incorrect. (Valuation net)	<p><b>We have undertaken the following work in relation to this risk:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We have performed a walkthrough to gain assurance that the in-year controls were operating in accordance with our documented understanding.</li> <li>• We have reviewed the reconciliation of information provided by the fund managers, the custodian and the Pension Fund's own records and sought explanations for variances.</li> <li>• For direct property investments agreed values in total to valuer's report and undertaken steps to gain reliance on the valuer as an expert.</li> </ul>	Our audit work has not identified any significant issues in relation to the risk identified.
<b>Contributions</b>	Recorded contributions not correct (Occurrence)	<p><b>We have undertaken the following work in relation to this risk:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We have performed a walkthrough to gain assurance that the in-year controls were operating in accordance with our documented understanding.</li> <li>• Tested a sample of contributions to source data to gain assurance over their accuracy and occurrence.</li> <li>• Rationalised contributions received with reference to changes in member body payrolls and numbers of contributing pensioners and ensured that any unexpected trends were satisfactorily explained.</li> </ul>	Our audit work has not identified any significant issues in relation to the risk identified.

## Audit findings against other risks (continued)

Transaction cycle	Description of risk	Work completed	Assurance gained & issues arising
<p><b>Benefits payable</b></p>	<p>Benefits improperly computed/claims liability understated (Completeness, accuracy and occurrence)</p>	<p><b>We have undertaken the following work in relation to this risk:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We have performed a walkthrough to gain assurance that the in-year controls were operating in accordance with our documented understanding.</li> <li>• Controls testing over, completeness, accuracy and occurrence of benefit payments,</li> <li>• Tested a sample of individual pensions in payment by reference to member files.</li> <li>• Rationalised pensions paid with reference to changes in pensioner numbers and increases applied in the year and ensured that any unusual trends were satisfactorily explained.</li> </ul>	<p>Our audit work has not identified any significant issues in relation to the risk identified.</p>
<p><b>Member Data</b></p>	<p>Member data not correct. (Rights and Obligations)</p>	<p><b>We have undertaken the following work in relation to this risk:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We have performed a walkthrough to gain assurance that the in-year controls were operating in accordance with our documented understanding.</li> <li>• Sample tested changes to member data made during the year to source documentation.</li> </ul>	<p>Our audit work has not identified any significant issues in relation to the risk identified.</p>

# Accounting policies, estimates and judgements

In this section we report on our consideration of accounting policies, in particular revenue recognition policies, and key estimates and judgements made and included with the Fund's financial statements.

Accounting area	Summary of policy	Comments	Assessment
<b>Revenue recognition</b>	Accounting policies state that income is recognised on an accruals basis, as it falls due.	We did not identify any issues with the revenue recognition policy or with the application of the policy	 (Green)
<b>Judgements and estimates</b>	Key estimates and judgements concern the valuation of investments and the valuation of the pension fund liability	Our review of your key judgements disclosed in the draft financial statements has confirmed they are complete and in accordance with our understanding of the Fund. Our testing has confirmed that the accounting policies in relation to these areas are in accordance with the CIFPA Code of Practice and have been correctly and consistently applied.	 (Green)
<b>Going concern</b>	Officers have a reasonable expectation that the services provided by the Fund will continue for the foreseeable future. For this reason, they continue to adopt the going concern basis in preparing the financial statements.	We have reviewed officer's assessment and are satisfied with their assessment that the going concern basis is appropriate for the 2015/16 financial statements.	 (Green)


**Assessment**

● Marginal accounting policy which could potentially attract attention from regulators (Red)  
 © 2016 Grant Thornton UK LLP | Audit Findings Report for Lewisham Pension Fund | 2015/16

● Accounting policy appropriate but scope for improved disclosure (Amber)

● Accounting policy appropriate and disclosures sufficient (Green)

# Accounting policies, estimates and judgements continued

Accounting area	Summary of policy	Comments	Assessment
<p><b>Other accounting policies</b></p>	<p>We have reviewed the Fund's policies against the requirements of the CIPFA Code and accounting standards.</p>	<p>Our review of accounting policies has not highlighted any issues which we wish to bring to your attention.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">   <b>(Green)</b> </p>

**Assessment**

● Marginal accounting policy which could potentially attract attention from regulators  
 © 2016 Grant Thornton UK LLP | Audit Findings Report for Lewisham Pension Fund | 2015/16

● Accounting policy appropriate but scope for improved disclosure

● Accounting policy appropriate and disclosures sufficient

## Other communication requirements

We set out below details of other matters which we, as auditors, are required by auditing standards and the Code to communicate to those charged with governance.

	Issue	Commentary
1.	<b>Matters in relation to fraud</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We have previously discussed the risk of fraud with the Audit Panel and been made aware of cases of fraud as they have been reported to the Panel. We are not aware of any incidences which are material to the opinion.</li> </ul>
2.	<b>Matters in relation to related parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>From the work we carried out, we have not identified any related party transactions which have not been disclosed</li> </ul>
3.	<b>Matters in relation to laws and regulations</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>You have not made us aware of any significant incidences of non-compliance with relevant laws and regulations and we have not identified any incidences from our audit work.</li> </ul>
4.	<b>Written representations</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A standard letter of representation has been requested from the Fund.</li> </ul>
5.	<b>Confirmation requests from third parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We obtained direct confirmations from your fund managers and custodian for investment balances and from your bank for your cash balances (outside of the cash held by your fund managers). All of these requests have been returned with positive confirmation.</li> </ul>
6.	<b>Disclosures</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Our review found no material omissions in the financial statements.</li> </ul>
7.	<b>Matters on which we report by exception</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We are required to report by exception where the Pension Fund Annual Report is inconsistent with the financial statements. The report has not yet been published and we have no issues we wish to report.</li> </ul>



## Internal controls

The purpose of an audit is to express an opinion on the financial statements.

Our audit included consideration of internal controls relevant to the preparation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control. We considered and walked through the internal controls for Investment Income, Contributions, Benefits Payable, and Member Data as set out on pages 12 and 13 above.

In our audit of the Council's accounts we identified a number of concerns with authorisation controls and separation of duties at the Council. These were consistent with internal audit findings during the year, and are reported in more detail in the audit findings report for the Council's accounts. Those control weaknesses also affect the pension fund as it uses the same financial systems. Weaknesses in the control environment can lead to an increased risk of fraud or error.

The matters reported here are limited to those deficiencies that we have identified during the course of our audit and that we have concluded are of sufficient importance to merit being reported to you in accordance with auditing standards.

# Adjusted misstatements

A number of adjustments to the draft accounts have been identified during the audit process. We are required to report all non trivial misstatements to those charged with governance, whether or not the accounts have been adjusted by management. The table below summarises the adjustments arising from the audit which have been processed by management.

## Impact of adjusted misstatements

All adjusted misstatements are set out in detail below along with the impact on the key statements and the reported surplus/deficit for the year.

Detail	Pension Fund Account £'000	Net Asset Statement £'000	Impact on Fund £000
1 The Council had raised debtors for invoices to Scheduled and Admitted bodies for which invoices had already been raised thereby duplicating the income in contributions.		(103)	(103)
2 The Council raised a number of debtors twice.		(387)	(387)
3 There is an additional pension fund debtor with London Borough of Lewisham which has not been included in the draft accounts.		166	166
<b>Overall impact</b>		<b>£324</b>	<b>£324</b>

## Misclassifications and disclosure changes

The table below provides details of misclassification and disclosure changes identified during the audit which have been made in the final set of financial statements.

Note - A number of other minor classification errors were identified which we have not stated below as they were below the trivial threshold.

Adjustment type	Value £'000	Account balance	Impact on the financial statements
1 Misclassification	1,236	Note 5a - Investments	We have identified a misclassification error where Level 1 investments for financial assets at fair value through profit and loss has been overstated by £1,236k. This is given as £20,683k however should be £19,447k. This also impacts the Net asset statement which has been overstated on Equities:Global by £1,236k.
2 Misclassification	1,050 and 180 Euros	Note 13 – Capital Commitments	We have identified a misclassification error on Note 13 – Capital Commitments. The Fund have applied incorrect capital figures for the V- Cayman Partnership Fund (180 euros) and the V- Cayman Direct Fund (1050 euros). This should be reversed with V-Cayman Partnership Fund (1050 Euros) and V-Cayman Direct Fund (180 Euros).
3 Misclassification	several	Note 4 – Investment Income	The Fund have included incorrect figures for Note 4 - investment income. This agrees in totality to the General Ledger however individual elements have been split incorrectly between do not agree the following categories; Cash -£117k, equity £349k, fixed interest £574k, index linked £122k, Managed funds - £1,415k and Other £487k.

---

## Section 3: Fees, non-audit services and independence

01. Executive summary

02. Audit findings

**03. Fees, non audit services and independence**

04. Communication of audit matters

We confirm below our final fees charged for the audit.

### Fees

	Proposed fee per Audit Plan £	Actual fees £
Pension fund scale fee	21,000	21,000
<b>Total audit fees (excluding VAT)</b>	<b>21,000</b>	<b>21,000</b>

The proposed fee variation for IAS 19 above takes account of the work we are required to undertake for admitted bodies with the PSAA regime and is consistent with that requested in prior years.

### Fees for other services

Service	Fees £
<b>Audit related services</b>	<b>Nil</b>
<b>Non-audit services</b>	<b>Nil</b>

### Independence and ethics

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention. We have complied with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards and therefore we confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirements of the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards.

---

## Section 4: Communication of audit matters

01. Executive summary

02. Audit findings

03. Fees, non audit services and independence

04. Communication of audit matters

# Communication to those charged with governance

International Standards on Auditing ISA (UK&I) 260, as well as other ISAs, prescribe matters which we are required to communicate with those charged with governance, and which we set out in the table opposite.

The Audit Plan outlined our audit strategy and plan to deliver the audit, while this Audit Findings report presents the key issues and other matters arising from the audit, together with an explanation as to how these have been resolved.

## Respective responsibilities

The Audit Findings Report has been prepared in the context of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited (<http://www.psa.co.uk/appointing-auditors/terms-of-appointment/>)

We have been appointed as the Fund's independent external auditors by the Audit Commission, the body responsible for appointing external auditors to local public bodies in England at the time of our appointment. As external auditors, we have a broad remit covering finance and governance matters.

Our annual work programme is set in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice ('the Code') issued by the NAO (<https://www.nao.org.uk/code-audit-practice/about-code/>). Our work considers the Fund's key risks when reaching our conclusions under the Code.

It is the responsibility of the Fund to ensure that proper arrangements are in place for the conduct of its business, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for. We have considered how the Fund is fulfilling these responsibilities.

Our communication plan	Audit Plan	Audit Findings
Respective responsibilities of auditor and management/those charged with governance	✓	
Overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit. Form, timing and expected general content of communications	✓	
Views about the qualitative aspects of the entity's accounting and financial reporting practices, significant matters and issues arising during the audit and written representations that have been sought		✓
Confirmation of independence and objectivity	✓	✓
A statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, relationships and other matters which might be thought to bear on independence. Details of non-audit work performed by Grant Thornton UK LLP and network firms, together with fees charged Details of safeguards applied to threats to independence	✓	✓
Material weaknesses in internal control identified during the audit		✓
Identification or suspicion of fraud involving management and/or others which results in material misstatement of the financial statements		✓
Non compliance with laws and regulations		✓
Expected modifications to auditor's report		✓
Uncorrected misstatements		✓
Significant matters arising in connection with related parties		✓
Significant matters in relation to going concern		✓

# Appendices



# Appendix: Audit opinion

**We anticipate we will provide the Fund with an unmodified audit report**

## **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF LONDON BOROUGH OF LEWISHAM**

We have audited the pension fund financial statements of London Borough of Lewisham (the "Authority") for the year ended 31 March 2016 under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (the "Act"). The pension fund financial statements comprise the Fund Account, the Net Assets Statement and the related notes. The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2015/16.

This report is made solely to the members of the Authority, as a body, in accordance with Part 5 of the Act and as set out in paragraph 43 of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies published by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the members those matters we are required to state to them in an auditor's report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Authority and the Authority's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

### **Respective responsibilities of the Executive Director of Resources and Regeneration and auditor**

As explained more fully in the Statement of the Executive Director of Resources and Regeneration's Responsibilities, the Executive Director of Resources and Regeneration is responsible for the preparation of the Authority's Statement of Accounts, which includes the pension fund financial statements, in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2015/16, which give a true and fair view. Our responsibility is to audit and express an opinion on the pension fund financial statements in accordance with applicable law and International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland). Those standards require us to comply with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards for Auditors.

## **Scope of the audit of the pension fund financial statements**

An audit involves obtaining evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements sufficient to give reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. This includes an assessment of whether the accounting policies are appropriate to the pension fund's circumstances and have been consistently applied and adequately disclosed; the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by the Executive Director of Resources and Regeneration; and the overall presentation of the pension fund financial statements. In addition, we read all the financial and non-financial information in the Authority's Statement of Accounts to identify material inconsistencies with the audited pension fund financial statements and to identify any information that is apparently materially incorrect based on, or materially inconsistent with, the knowledge acquired by us in the course of performing the audit. If we become aware of any apparent material misstatements or inconsistencies we consider the implications for our report.

## **Opinion on the pension fund financial statements**

In our opinion the pension fund financial statements:

present a true and fair view of the financial transactions of the pension fund during the year ended 31 March 2016 and of the amount and disposition at that date of the fund's assets and liabilities, and have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2015/16 and applicable law.

## **Opinion on other matters**

In our opinion, the other information published together with the audited pension fund financial statements in the Authority's Statement of Accounts is consistent with the audited pension fund financial statements.

## **Darren Wells**

for and on behalf of Grant Thornton UK LLP, Appointed Auditor  
Fleming Way  
Manor Royal  
Crawley  
RH10 9GT  
September 2016



© 2016 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights served.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International LTD (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL, and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

**[grant-thornton.co.uk](http://grant-thornton.co.uk)**